

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 09/922,175 Examiner KAMAL B. DIVECHA	Applicant(s) KRACHT, JAMES E. Art Unit 2451
--	--	---	--

All Participants:

(1) KAMAL B. DIVECHA

(2) Ryan Gleitz

Date of Interview: 1 December 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
25

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/KAMAL B DIVECHA/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The potential 35 USC 101 rejection was discussed with respect to claim 25. Applicant authorized the examiner to replace the program storage device readable by machine to a non transitory computer readable storage medium readable by a machine in the examiners amendments in order to overcome the 35 USC 101 . .